United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,779	02/27/2004	Peter J. Burke	708493.4036	9431	
34313 ORRICK, HER	7590 10/09/200 RRINGTON & SUTCL		EXAMINER		
IP PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT			PHASGE, ARUN S		
4 PARK PLAZ SUITE 1600	A.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA 92614-2558			1795		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		• ,	10/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/789,779	BURKE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arun S. Phasge	1753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	he correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	From the mailing date of this communication.	·
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	 action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims	an parto quayro, 1000 0.0. 1	1, 400 0.0. 210.	
· <u> </u>			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are pending in the application.		•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn Irom consideration.	•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
	olootion requirement		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	۳.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Bureau		erved in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eived	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
A44-2-h			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumi	many /PTO 412\	
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nary (P10-413) ail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		nal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

Election/Restrictions

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-33, drawn to method and apparatus for manipulating object by dielectrophoresis, classified in class 204, subclass 450.
- II. Claims 34-40, drawn to making a dielectrophoresis system, classified in class 29.
- III. Claims 41-44, drawn to nanowire, classified in class 423.
- IV. Claims 45-56, drawn to making nanowire, classified in class 423.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions III and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by another and materially different process.

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the

Art Unit: 1753

process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP \S 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by another and materially different process.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is (571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax

Art Unit: 1753

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arun S. Phasge Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1753